Case 2:21-cv-00144-SAB ECF No. 33 filed 02/23/22 PageID.490 Page 1 of 2

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Feb 23, 2022

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

EDWARD MIER,

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

21

22

Plaintiff.

v.

MUTUAL OF ENUMCLAW

INSURANCE CO.,

Defendant.

No. 2:21-CV-00144-SAB

ORDER RE: STIPULATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Stipulated Motion for Protective Order, ECF 16 No. 32. The motion was heard without oral argument. Plaintiff is represented by Matthew Crotty. Defendant is represented by Arthur Simpson and Steven Caplow.

The parties ask the Court to sign a Protective Order that was drafted and 19 agreed to by the parties. It is this Court's practice to not enter general Protective Orders that simply set forth the parties' agreement for handling "confidential" || materials.

The parties are free to contract between themselves regarding disclosure of 23 information produced in discovery and pursue appropriate remedies in the event of 24 breach; however, the Court will not be party to such an agreement. If, in the future, 25 the parties wish to file specific items of discovery in the court record and protect 26 such items from public access, the Court will entertain an application for a 27 narrowly tailored protective order. 28 //

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ~ 1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The parties' Stipulated Motion for Protective Order, ECF No. 32, is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is hereby directed to file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 23rd day of February 2022.



Stanley A. Bastian
Chief United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ~2